UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,966	12/19/2005	Guillermo J. Tearney	034717/US/2-475387-00177	2595
30873 DORSEY & W	7590 02/20/200 HITNEY LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
250 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10177			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/542,966	TEARNEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRANDI N. THOMAS	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of t	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-145 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-38,40-74,76-108 a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15,39,75 and 109 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>and 110-145</u> is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 7/20/05 is/are: a) ☑ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sertion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/542,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 109 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harte (4056724).

Regarding claim 109, a confocal microscope lens arrangement comprising: a lens assembly including a plurality of lenses, wherein a first lens (114a, 114b, 120, 133a, 133b, and 135) of the plurality of lenses is an aplanat lens (col. 9, lines 26-39), wherein a second lens (111, 116, and 123) of the plurality of lenses is a piano-convex lens, wherein the first lens of the plurality of lenses is closer to a focal point of the lens assembly than the second lens of the plurality of lenses, and wherein the first lens of the plurality of lenses to the focal point of the lens assembly (col. 9, lines 26-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 39, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krauter (5191879) in view of Pawloski et al. (7014966 B2).

Regarding claims 1 and 75, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, comprising: a lens assembly housing (23) including a lens assembly (21) (col. 3, lines 33-35); an exterior housing (20) including a distal end (26) and a proximal end (27) (col. 3, lines 44-49), the exterior housing (figure 2) configured to allow the lens assembly housing (20) to be placed therein, and translated between the proximal end (27) and distal end (26) of the exterior housing (figure 2) to focus the lens assembly (20) (col. 3, lines 44-49); an area filling the volume of area between the exterior housing (20) and the lens assembly housing (23) (figures 2 and 3) but does not specifically disclose an immersion media area filling the volume of area between the exterior housing and the lens assembly housing. Pawloski et al. discloses an immersion media area filling the volume of area between the exterior housing and the lens assembly housing (col. 5, lines 43-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the immersion media of Pawloski et al. for the purpose of filling the space between the photo resist and the optics in the imaging system (col. 5, lines 43-45).

Regarding claim 2, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the exterior housing (20) is dimensioned such that a clearance area is provided between the lens assembly housing (23) and the exterior housing (20) (figures 2 and 3).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the clearance area is sized to allow a portion of the air to flow from the distal end of the exterior housing to the proximal end of the exterior housing when the lens assembly is translationed toward the distal end of the exterior housing (20) (figures 2 and 3) but does not specifically disclose an immersion media. Pawloski et al. discloses an immersion media

area (col. 5, lines 43-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the immersion media of Pawloski et al. for the purpose of immersing the specimen.

Regarding claim 39, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement but does not specifically disclose wherein the immersion medium is a fluid. Pawloski et al. discloses an immersion medium is a liquid (col. 5, lines 8-12). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the immersion media of Pawloski et al. for the purpose of immersing the specimen.

5. Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krauter (5191879) in view of Pawloski et al. (7014966 B2) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Garini (6552794 B2).

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the distal end (26) of the exterior housing (20) comprising a coverslip (figures 2 and 3) but does not specifically disclose an aperture, and wherein the coverslip is placed in registration with the aperture. Garini discloses an aperture and a coverslip, and wherein the coverslip is placed in registration with the aperture (col. 6, lines 42-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the aperture of Garini for the purpose of less light and depolarization.

Regarding claims 6, 8, 14, and 15, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement but does not specifically disclose wherein the coverslip is composed of a transparent polymer with a refractive index of within five percent of 1.38. It would have been obvious to modify the invention to include wherein the coverslip is composed of a transparent polymer with a refractive index of within five percent of 1.38, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416).

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the coverslip includes a first side and a second side, the first side being in contact with the air filling the space between the exterior and lens housings, and the second side being coated with a polymer. (figures 2 and 3) but does not specifically disclose an immersion media. Pawloski et al. discloses an immersion media area (col. 5, lines 43-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the immersion media of Pawloski et al. for the purpose of immersing the specimen.

Regarding claim 9, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the lens assembly housing (23) includes a first end and a second end (figures 2 and 3).

Regarding claim 11, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the lens assembly (23) includes at least one lens (imager, 21) (col. 3, lines 54-57).

Regarding claim 12, Krauter discloses, in figures 2 and 3, a confocal microscope lens arrangement, wherein the a least one lens (imager, 21) of the lens assembly is in contact with the air between the exterior and lens housings but does not specifically an immersion media.

Pawloski et al. discloses an immersion media area (col. 5, lines 43-50). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the device of Krauter with the immersion media of Pawloski et al. for the purpose of immersing the specimen.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 39, 75, and 109 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRANDI N. THOMAS whose telephone number is (571)272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,966 Page 7

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brandi N Thomas/ Examiner

Art Unit 2873

BNT

February 12, 2009

/Ricky L. Mack/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2873